

➤ regression method (conditional normal distribution) — LNp.3-16.

- Suppose that the common factors \mathbf{F} and the specific factors $\mathbf{\epsilon}$ are jointly normally distributed

LNp.5-12 $\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{F} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ has an $N_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}' + \boldsymbol{\Psi})$ distribution

- Moreover, the joint distribution of $(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})$ and \mathbf{F} is $N_{m+p}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu} \\ \mathbf{F} \end{bmatrix} & \sim N_{m+p}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*) \\ \text{COV}(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{F}) &= \text{COV}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{F} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \mathbf{F}) \\ &= \mathbf{L} \text{COV}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}) + \text{COV}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*_{(m+p) \times (m+p)} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}' + \boldsymbol{\Psi} & \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L}' & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}$$

$\begin{matrix} (p \times p) & (p \times m) \\ (m \times p) & (m \times m) \end{matrix}$

- the conditional distribution of $\mathbf{F}|\mathbf{x}$ is multivariate normal with

LNp.3-4 result 3-14

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mean} &= E(\mathbf{F}|\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{L}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*)^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \mathbf{L}'(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}' + \boldsymbol{\Psi})^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \\ \text{covariance} &= \text{Cov}(\mathbf{F}|\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{L}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*)^{-1}\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{L}'(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}' + \boldsymbol{\Psi})^{-1}\mathbf{L} \end{aligned}$$

similar to the mean structure of response in regression analysis.

- the j th factor score vector is given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}}_j = \hat{\mathbf{L}}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_j - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) = \hat{\mathbf{L}}'(\hat{\mathbf{L}}\hat{\mathbf{L}}' + \hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}})^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_j - \bar{\mathbf{x}})$$

jth row of \mathbf{x} .

- Denote the scores generated by the weighted least squares by $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_j^{LS}$ and those by the regression method by $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_j^R$. Because $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_j^R = (\mathbf{I} + \hat{\mathbf{L}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{L}})^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{L}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_j - \bar{\mathbf{x}})$

$$\hat{\mathbf{L}}'(\hat{\mathbf{L}}\hat{\mathbf{L}}' + \hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}})^{-1} = (\mathbf{I} + \hat{\mathbf{L}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{L}})^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{L}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{-1} \quad (\text{exercise 9.6, textbook})$$

$\begin{matrix} (m \times p) & (p \times p) & (p \times p) & (m \times p) & (p \times p) \end{matrix}$

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}}_j^{LS} = (\hat{\mathbf{L}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{L}})^{-1}(\mathbf{I} + \hat{\mathbf{L}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{L}})\hat{\mathbf{f}}_j^R = (\mathbf{I} + (\hat{\mathbf{L}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{L}})^{-1})\hat{\mathbf{f}}_j^R = (\mathbf{I} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{-1})\hat{\mathbf{f}}_j^R$$

For maximum likelihood estimates $(\hat{\mathbf{L}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{L}})^{-1} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}^{-1}$ and if the elements of this diagonal matrix are close to zero, the regression and generalized least squares methods will give nearly the same factor scores.

- In an attempt to reduce the effect of a (possible) incorrect determination of the number of factors, \mathbf{S} is often used for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$, rather than $\hat{\mathbf{L}}\hat{\mathbf{L}}' + \hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}$, i.e.,

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}}_j = \hat{\mathbf{L}}'\mathbf{S}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_j - \bar{\mathbf{x}})$$

may not be a good approximation.

or, if a correlation matrix is factored,

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}}_j = \hat{\mathbf{L}}_z'\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{z}_j \quad \hat{\mathbf{f}}_j^* = (\hat{\mathbf{P}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{1/2})'(\hat{\mathbf{P}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{P}}')(\mathbf{x}_j - \bar{\mathbf{x}})$$

where $\mathbf{z}_j = \mathbf{D}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{x}_j - \bar{\mathbf{x}})$

➤ If rotated loadings $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^* = \hat{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{T}$ are used in place of the original loadings, the subsequent factor scores, $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_j^*$, are related to $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_j$ by $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_j^* = \mathbf{T}'\hat{\mathbf{f}}_j$

- A strategy for factor analysis

- perform a principal component factor analysis
- perform a maximum likelihood factor analysis
- compare the solutions obtained from the 2 factor analyses
- repeat the 1st 3 steps for other number of common factors m
- for large data sets, split them in half and perform a FA on each part

Diagram illustrating the relationship between PC1, PC2, F1, F2, and the rotated loadings $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^*$.

PC1, PC2 are shown as vectors originating from the origin. F1, F2 are shown as vectors originating from the origin. The rotated loadings $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^*$ are shown as vectors originating from the origin. The relationship is given by $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^* = \hat{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{T}$.

The diagram also shows the relationship between the original loadings $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$ and the rotated loadings $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^*$ via the transformation $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^* = \hat{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{T}$.

The diagram also shows the relationship between the original loadings $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$ and the rotated loadings $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^*$ via the transformation $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^* = \hat{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{T}$.