NTHU MATH 2820, 2025 Lecture Notes Ch9, p.52 • Is it appropriate to use the test statistic in Ex. 7.20 to test the  $H_0$  and  $H_A$  in Ex. 7.24? How is the opposite? • For same data set, which of the tests in the two example would be expected to have smaller *p*-value? Why?  $\leftarrow$  (i)  $\varrho \in \Omega_{7,24} \setminus \Omega_0$  (ii)  $\varrho \in \Omega_{7,24} \setminus \Omega_0$ **Note**. If one has a specific alternative hypothesis in mind, better power can be obtained by developing a test against that alternative rather than against a more general alternative. 5/13 Reading: textbook, 9.6 pdf of Yn Some concerns about hypothesis testing Small sample Question : Suppose modeling is correct. For size Sma large - $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_A: \theta \neq \theta_0$ ∽∕,⋕ 0/Jn when  $H_0$  is not rejected, does it mean we accept  $\theta = \theta_0$ ?  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), \sigma$  known, Ho 11=0 e.g.:  $\hat{\mu} = Y_n$  $\overline{Y_{10}} = 10$ , not reject Y10000 = 1, reject  $\mu \approx 0$ , but not zero, reject  $H_0$  if 5 In ed on  $\frac{c}{V} \Leftrightarrow \frac{|\overline{Y}|}{|\overline{Y}|} > \underline{c} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = c \sqrt{Var(\overline{Y})}.$ precision low power high power  $\sigma/\sqrt{n}$ 2nd expt: Sn ↓ when n↑ Consider the two cases: exd(t:  $\overline{\mathbf{v}} = 10$ not reject (i) <u>n=10</u>, and (ii) <u>n=10000</u>.  $\overline{\mathbf{v}} = 1$ De when of Þ <u>Ch9, p.</u>53 Note: this is why we prefer not to say "accept  $H_0$ ", but rather "sample size is not large enough to reject  $H_0$ ", or OHo is true (2) information not enough \_\_\_\_\_\_ "fail to reject H\_0" When sample size n is large enough, it is very possible that almost every tests are significant (i.e.,  $H_0$  rejected).  $\frac{\text{average temperature}}{\text{average temperature}} \quad \frac{\text{yesterday:}}{\text{today:}} \quad \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} = \frac{28^\circ C}{28.001^\circ C},$ example:  $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = \underline{0.001^\circ C}.$ Test  $\underline{H_0}$ :  $\underline{\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0}$  vs.  $\underline{H_A}$ :  $\underline{\mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0}$ . The null  $\underline{\mu_1 = \mu_2}$  will be easily rejected if n is very large. + MI & M2 are (statistically) significantly different. • Statistical significance may be inconsistent with practical/physical significance. (example?) Can you really "feel" the 0.001 °C difference? Q: what causes the inconsistency? To claim significance, precision of statistical standard different physical standard for datasets with large n, easy to get statistically significant results for  $\underline{\theta_i}$ 's (e.g., every  $\underline{H_0}: \theta_i = 0$  rejected). But, the magnitudes of

made by S.-W. Cheng (NTHU, Taiwan)

some  $\theta_i$ 's may be small (i.e.,  $\theta_i \approx 0$ )  $\Rightarrow$  not physically important.

NTHU MATH 2820, 2025



made by S.-W. Cheng (NTHU, Taiwan)

NTHU MATH 2820, 2025

Lecture Notes



made by S.-W. Cheng (NTHU, Taiwan)