


Hypothesis testing ← 假設檢定

• What is hypothesis testing?

Question 7.1 (What is a hypothesis testing question?)

- observed data.** x_1, \dots, x_n
- statistical modeling.** Regard x_1, \dots, x_n as a realization of random variables X_1, \dots, X_n , and assign X_1, \dots, X_n a joint distribution: a joint cdf $F(\cdot|\Theta)$, or a joint pdf $f(\cdot|\Theta)$, or a joint pmf $p(\cdot|\Theta)$, where $\Theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) \in \Omega$, and θ_i 's are fixed constants, but their values are unknown.
parameters 規律 parameter space | Note. Ω can be regarded as a collection of distributions, or 平行世界 statistical modeling
- point estimation.** What is the value of Θ ? Find a function of X_1, \dots, X_n , $\hat{\Theta}$, to estimate Θ or a function of Θ . point estimator
- hypothesis testing.** Separate Ω into two disjoint sets Ω_0 and Ω_A , i.e., $\Omega_0 \cap \Omega_A = \emptyset$ and $\Omega_0 \cup \Omega_A = \Omega$.
a partition of Ω

 Use the data X_1, \dots, X_n to answer the question: which of the two hypotheses, $H_0 : \Theta \in \Omega_0$ versus $H_A : \Theta \in \Omega_A$ is more favorable.
true parameter

Question 7.2

Can we obtain an estimate of Θ and accept H_0 if $\hat{\Theta} \in \Omega_0$ and reject H_0 if $\hat{\Theta} \in \Omega_A$? What if X is continuous?

Hint. Consider the case: $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$, 0 < p < 1

Fair coin

$$H_0 : p = 0.5 \text{ v.s. } H_1 : p \neq 0.5,$$

What if $n = 10^5$ and $\hat{p} = 0.50001$? ≠ 0.5

testing \longleftrightarrow duality \longleftrightarrow C.I. (interval estimator)
LNp 54 ~ 57

Definition 7.1 (null and alternative hypotheses, simple and composite hypotheses, TBp.331,332,334)

- H_0 is called null hypothesis. 虛無假設 對立假設
- H_A (sometimes denoted as H_1) is called alternative hypothesis.
- An hypothesis is said to be a simple hypothesis if that hypothesis uniquely specifies the distribution. Ω_0 or Ω_A only contain 1 distribution
- Any hypothesis that is not a simple hypothesis is called a composite hypothesis. Ω_0 or Ω_A contain at least 2 distributions.

Question 7.3 (asymmetry between H_0 and H_A)

Is there a difference between the roles of H_0 and H_A ? Can we arbitrary exchange the two hypotheses? No Ans. Yes. See the solution to dilemma (LNp.8)

Example 7.1 (some null and alternative hypotheses, TBp.329, 334)1. Two coins experiment• Data and problem

- Suppose that I have two coins
- Coin 0 has probability of heads equal to 0.5, and coin 1 has probability of heads equal to 0.7. parameter
- I choose one of the coins, toss it 10 times, and tell you the number of heads X . data
- On the basis of observed X , your task is to decide which coin it was. problem

• Statistical modeling

- $X \sim \text{Binomial}(10, p)$
- $\Omega = \{\text{Binomial}(10, 0.5), \text{Binomial}(10, 0.7)\} = \{p \mid p \in \{0.5, 0.7\}\}$

unknown parameter for you

Note. not $0 < p < 1$ in the case• Problem formulation

- H_0 : coin 0 $\Rightarrow \Omega_0 = \{\text{Binomial}(10, 0.5)\}$ dim=0
- H_A : coin 1 $\Rightarrow \Omega_A = \{\text{Binomial}(10, 0.7)\}$ dim=0
- Both hypotheses are simple.

 Ω can be regarded as the collection of

"all possible worlds."
In this case, there are only two possible worlds.

2. Testing for ESP Extra-Sensory Perception• Data and problem

- A subject is asked to identify, without looking, the suits of 20 cards drawn randomly with replacement from a 52 card deck.

Data

- Let T be the number of correct identifications.

- We would like to know whether the person is purely guessing or has extrasensory ability. problem

• statistical modeling

- $T \sim \text{Binomial}(20, p)$. unknown parameter
- Note that $p = 0.25$ means that the subject is nearly guessing and has no extrasensory ability.
- $\Omega = \{p : p \in [0.25, 1]\}$ or $\Omega = \{p : p \in [0, 1]\}$

		card			
		$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$
		S	H	D	C
A_1	S	✓	x	x	x
A_2	H	x	✓	x	x
A_3	D	x	x	✓	x
A_4	C	x	x	x	✓

guess

prob = $\frac{1}{4}$ P_C • Problem formulation

- $\Omega = [0.25, 1]$

What's their difference? \rightarrow When T is very small.dim=0 \rightarrow

$$H_0 : p = 0.25 \quad \text{v.s.} \quad H_A : p > 0.25$$

 \leftarrow dim=1* Then, $\Omega_0 = \{0.25\}$ and $\Omega_A = (0.25, 1]$.

* The H_0 is simple and H_A is composite. Furthermore, H_A is called a one-sided hypothesis.

$$- \Omega = [0, 1]$$

$$H_0 : p = 0.25 \quad \text{v.s.} \quad H_A : p \neq 0.25$$

$\dim = 0$

$\dim = 1$

* Then, $\Omega_0 = \{0.25\}$ and $\Omega_A = [0, 0.25) \cup (0.25, 1]$.

* The H_0 is simple and H_A is composite. Furthermore, H_A is called a two-sided hypothesis.

3. goodness-of-fit test (for Poisson distribution)

Taylor expansion
of mgf:

$$M_X(t) = E(e^{tX}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{E(X^k)}{k!} t^k$$

k th moment of X
parameter

$\dim = ?$

0, 1, 2, 3, ...
↓ ↓ ↓ ↓
 $p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots$ s.t.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i = 1$$

$\dim = \infty$

• Data and problem (LN, CH8, p.68, χ^2 -test)

– Observe an i.i.d. data X_1, \dots, X_n . Data

– Suppose that we only know that X_i 's are discrete data.

– We would like to know whether the observations came from a Poisson distribution. problem

• Statistical modeling:

– X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. from a discrete distribution with cdf F . on non-negative integers

– $\Omega = \{F : F \text{ is a discrete cdf}\}$ unknown distribution

• Problem formulation

– H_0 : Data came from some Poisson $\Rightarrow \Omega_0 = \{F : F \text{ is a } P(\underline{\lambda}) \text{ cdf}\}$ $\dim = 1$

– H_A : Data not from Poisson $\Rightarrow \Omega_A = \Omega \setminus \Omega_0$.

– Both hypotheses are composite. $\dim = \infty$